Current trends in the management of dry eye

The patient with dry eye is a regular visitor to most ophthalmologists’ offices, a patient that can be difficult to treat and hard to satisfy. Four European ophthalmologists met at the 9th ESCRS Winter Refractive Surgery Meeting to discuss current strategies in the diagnosis and treatment of this challenging condition. Paul Rosen FRCS chaired the discussion.

Rosen: I would like to welcome everyone to this roundtable discussion on dry eye. What we want to do is have a general discussion on dry eye, its aetiology, investigation and management, particularly in relation to laser refractive surgery. The first issue to discuss is the scope of the problem and what percentage of your practices have patients with dry eyes.

“I would estimate about 10% of patients who present to a refractive clinic have symptomatic dry eye which may exclude them from corneal laser refractive surgery.”

Tayo Akingbehin

Akingbehin: Within a general clinic population dry eyes is a fairly common occurrence in the older age groups but the demography of the refractive clinic is much younger; I would estimate about 10% of patients who present to a refractive clinic have symptomatic dry eye which may exclude them from corneal laser refractive surgery. That is not to say there are not other patients who show signs of lower tear production but are not necessarily symptomatic.

Peckar: I think in the younger population there is a very high prevalence of dry eye symptoms in contact lens wearers. Longterm contact lens wearers all have a degree of dry eye or disturbance of tear production and a large proportion of these patients occur among the refractive surgery population by definition.

Epstein: I find the issue very confusing, because we have one sector which can be defined medically as dry eyes and a larger group that describe themselves as having dry eyes but there are no findings to substantiate the diagnosis. The best estimates I know suggest that these two groups comprise about 25% of patients who present at a general ophthalmological practice. If you look at the two groups you will find that a lot of patients, such as contact lens wearers and women on the pill, have dry eye symptoms but they don’t really have dry eyes by any clinical definition.

Rosen: I think that brings us neatly to how we investigate someone with symptoms of so-called dry eyes. Do you have a plan that you use in your practice?

“I find the issue very confusing, because we have one sector which can be defined medically as dry eyes and a larger group that describe themselves as having dry eyes but there are no findings to substantiate the diagnosis.”

Dan Epstein

Epstein: I very much like the Rose Bengal staining technique because I trust that technique very much. Some people use the height of tear meniscus but that can be tricky because in patients who have blepharitis, the tear meniscus may look different at different times. There is also the tear break-up time. But I don’t trust the break-up time that much either, because the definition of break-up time also varies and the only relevant physiological break-up time is what happens between two blinks.

Rosen: What about Schirmer’s do you think that’s of any value?

Epstein: I have stopped using it.

Peckar: I have also stopped using it. As regards the Rose Bengal test, although it’s the best way to understand what’s happening to the corneal epithelium, if you carry it out routinely on your patients, large numbers of them will desert you because of the extreme pain induced by the test. I have therefore tended to replace that in day-to-day clinical practice by studying the fluorescein staining of the tear film and the epithelium and relating that to what I know happens in a Rose Bengal test.

Akingbehin: Yes I agree with Clive and in a way I feel that Schirmer’s test is useless. Nevertheless, I think it is important in a refractive surgery clinic to document some element of tear production and this is the only objective way of doing it. So we are still doing it routinely on arrival on all our patients in the clinic. The difficulty with using the Rose Bengal in the clinic is that by the time they get to the ophthalmologist and they have been through the optometrist and the nurse technician the corneal epithelial surface has already been compromised in various other ways so that it is no longer a useful test at this stage in the clinic.
“As we are talking here mainly of the milder dry eyes in the younger population I think it is often related to contact lens wear. In the elderly it is almost physiological.”

Clive Peckar

Rosen: Dan, do you think you can correlate the clinical findings with the symptoms?

Epstein: No, generally not. Except in extreme cases where there is real rheumatic disease, where you don’t even need the Schirmer’s test and you can see that there is almost no tear meniscus. I agree with Clive that there is a danger of irritating the patient with the Rose Bengal test, but it depends on whether you do it with a paper strip, whether you do it with a liquid form of Rose Bengal, and how much of a drop you use. So I think you can get away with it. You only want to do it once, and if the patient has been through other exams you can do it another time, it’s not an emergency situation.

Rosen: What about the correlation between dry eye and blepharitis? Do you think there is a link?

Epstein: I would say that many of the patients complaining about dry eye don’t have dry eye but they have symptoms of blepharitis which they have learned to describe as dry eye. Blepharitis is probably one of the major under-diagnosed conditions in ophthalmology.

Rosen: Now, moving onto the pathogenesis of dry eyes. Does the panel have any strong views on why people get dry eyes?

Peckar: As we are talking here mainly of the milder dry eyes in the younger population, as I stated before, I think it is often related to contact lens wear. In the elderly it is almost physiological. You can often find it in patients over 70 years of age if you look for it. Paradoxically, these patients are often asymptomatic and you don’t need to treat them. Patients vary enormously in their sensitivity and how they respond to a degree of corneal drying and dehydration and epithelial cell damage.

Akingbehin: There has always been the debate in corneal laser surgery— I am talking in particular about LASIK to start with—whether patients who have a superiorly placed hinge are more prone to having dry eye compared to patients who have a nasally placed hinge. For quite a while one of the alleged values of the nasal-hinge microkeratomes was that the patients had less dry eye with it. It would be interesting to see whether in fact this will be proven statistically with the Intralase, which lets the surgeon choose where he places the hinge. But it always interests me that dry eye is mostly regarded as a complication of corneal laser surgery and yet it will occur as frequently following cataract surgery, where we do not disturb the corneal innervation as significantly as when we have the microkeratome cut a corneal flap.

Rosen: Do you think there is a difference in dry symptoms between LASIK and LASEK?

Akingbehin: That is a difficult one to answer because I think you’ve got to look at the stability of the corneal epithelium. Even after the contact lens has been removed following LASEK the epithelial cells are still not as stable as in LASIK. Now that we have a choice between using an alcohol-induced flap or a mechanical epithelial flap with Epi-LASIK, we may get an opportunity to see if there is a difference in the chemical damage to the epithelial cells in LASEK.

Epstein: I have been in the PRK and Excimer field from the very start. We began by doing thousands of PRKs and I don’t recall any major problem with dry eye. The dry eye issue emerged with LASIK and then came the speculation that it resulted from cutting the nerves in the cornea. We have evidence for that. Bill Bourne had a beautiful poster at the ESRS last year in which he used confocal microscopy to show that even three years after LASIK the nerve regeneration has not been completed, but with PRK, regeneration was complete after one to two years. Therefore, you would think that LASIK patients are the ones that would have the most dry eye, if you believe in the corneal sensation aspect of it.

Rosen: Tayo, you mentioned that when screening patients for LASIK they all undergo a Schirmer’s test: do you ever reject anyone on the basis that they have dry eyes? Remember a lot of these patients are contact lens wearers they are drying out and can’t tolerate their contact lenses.

Akingbehin: Of course we do reject some patients but not on the result of Schirmer’s test alone. A useful sign that the corneal epithelium is not functioning properly in contact-lens-intolerant patients is an objective improvement in their vision with their eyedrops.

Rosen: What are you telling patients in terms of informed consent about the possibility of dry eye after refractive surgery?

Epstein: I think there is some confusion. I have been in the PRK and Excimer field from the very start. We tell them they are going to have the microkeratome cut a corneal flap.

Epstein: First I tell them that they have a probable problem with the quality of the tears and I am going to treat them with a quantity increase. That is a difficult therapeutic situation so I want to decrease their level of expectation. I also try to avoid all drops with preservatives since, unfortunately, while benzalconium chloride is a superb preservative, it is not the kind of thing you want to put in the eye of a patient with dry eye symptoms. My great hope now is that new products will act longer in the eye. The duration in the eye of a normal drop is miniscule. The other way to get long time effect is to prescribe gels. I do that especially in people who mainly have morning problems. I have patients who only have gel at night and they do just fine.

“May great hope now is that new products will act longer in the eye. The duration in the eye of a normal drop is miniscule.”

Dan Epstein

Rosen: You wouldn’t use drops at all?

Peckar: A couple of years ago I actually started going straight into treatment with these SmartPlug implants that are 99% reversible. When you inject these implants, they sit in the ampulla in the lower canalicus and, unlike the traditional punctual plugs, there is no risk of corneal abrasion from the plug and they increase the amount of available tears. We get 70% of patients off all drops using this strategy.

“May strategy now is to go straight to a inferior canalicul occlusion with the thermodynamic hydrophobic implant.”

Clive Peckar

Rosen: What about products like sodium hyaluronate? Have you ever used that?

Peckar: Absolutely, where I do use drops I use one of the two strengths of sodium hyaluronate as produced by Vismed either the 0.18% or recently the 0.3% and the patients think it’s wonderful. The big problem with this drop is that it has been...
Epstein: The patients I have on cyclosporine are American patients who have come to me for second opinion. We have not had it available on the European market yet. These are cases of extreme dry eye after LASIK, people who already had dry eye before LASIK. They have tried everything else and it is the only thing that works for them. Some of these patients now live in Europe and have to go back to the States to purchase it.

Rosen: It is not available in the UK and almost impossible to get so our experience is very limited, do you think it works?

Epstein: If a patient subjectively feels better and has tried everything else and only this helps, then I think that is not an insubstantial argument for using it. However, until they introduce it in Europe there will not be much experience with it on this side of the Atlantic.

Rosen: What about other new products like Systane produced by Alcon. Clive, have you had any experience with that?

Epstein: I think the autologous serum techniques developed such a bad name in the past because they were not assessed in controlled studies, which makes it very difficult to think of them as the magic solution.

Rosen: Do any of you use autologous serum?

Epstein: I think the autologous serum techniques developed such a bad name in the past because they were not assessed in controlled studies, which makes it very difficult to think of them as the magic solution.

Rosen: I am not sure that you can achieve a definition. Maybe it should be symptoms of dry eyes lasting more than six months but the symptoms are very subjective and may be determined by other factors not just the physical state of the eye.

Epstein: Hyaluronic acid is being sold in that form. In Germany and in Switzerland it is called Hylo-COMOD.

Peckar: We had it as HyloPrompt, but the product was withdrawn. Patients loved it because they could carry this pump container around and it was preservative free. The sodium hyaluronate we currently use comes in single-dose containers. And although the new version has a sort of semi-resealable cap, they can still get only two or three drops out of it. This is a major problem.

Akingbehin: I think for such a very common disease that needs treating most of the time and with very good success rate in its management it would be nice to get the industry to look at affordable canulicular implants and preservative-free drops used in combination.

Peckar: We worked out what the use of thermodynamic canulicular implants could save the health service in the United Kingdom. Working on the percentage of patients not requiring regular drops for a year after implantation, we calculated that there would be a saving nationally to the country of about £6 million a year. However, that would require a transfer of funds in the health service from the drug budget and there has just been no will to do this because it is not a priority.

Rosen: Dan, I am going to give the last word to you.

Epstein: As long as we are not sure what the common dry eye condition as defined by the patient is caused by, we really don’t know what to do therapy-wise. If Systane, for example, does prove to stay longer on the eye because it has a lipid layer on top which prevents evaporation, maybe that’s it. But I feel uncomfortable about the uncertainties with respect to the pathogenesis of the largest group, the contact lens wearers with dry eye, the young women with dry eye and the pre LASIK with dry eye. All of these dry eyes that are not genuine dry eyes but still have symptoms of dry eyes.

Epstein: Yes, I agree. Very often you have a patient who has had dry eye complaints for longer than six months but simultaneously presents with other problems such as suboptimal refractive result, uncorrected cylinder or night driving symptoms. Are the dry eyes a surrogate for the other unresolved issues? It may be that this is a way of expressing dissatisfaction and that makes it even more difficult to define what it is all about.

Rosen: I would like to finish by thanking you all for your participation.

Epstein: Before you round up this discussion I just wonder whether it is appropriate to get an opinion from the panellists here. If we say dry eye is very common and it invariably follows lasik procedure, at what stage is it legitimate to start recording this as an adverse event following lasik?

Epstein: I think in the majority of cases controlling loss of tears down the lachrymal drainage systems certainly helps, but for some patients you are still going to have to use some sort of lubricant eyedrop. There is a huge amount of research going on trying to find the ideal tear substitute and this work is going to continue. One company had a very innovative idea. Rather than giving a small single dose they produced a multiple dose container with a one way pump system and silver electrodes and silver antibacterial components so they could use a preservative free drop arrangement.

Epstein: I think that in the majority of cases controlling loss of tears down the lachrymal drainage systems certainly helps, but for some patients you are still going to have to use some sort of lubricant eyedrop.